## **REMARKS**

This Response, filed in reply to the Office Action dated July 6, 2006, is believed to be fully responsive to each point of rejection raised therein. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration on the merits is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-15 stands rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 09/289,600, which is the parent application of this case. To expedite prosecution of this case, Applicant herewith submits a terminal disclaimer.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

## Attorney Docket No. Q76261

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 U.S. Appln. No. 10/662,518

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 41,239

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Washington office} \\ 23373 \\ \text{customer number} \end{array}$ 

Date: October 6, 2006